



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Roland EINHAUS et al. Group Art Unit: 1792

Application No.: 10/550,456 Examiner: Felisa Carla Hiteshew

Filed: September 26, 2005 Docket No.: 125428

For: CRUCIBLE FOR A DEVICE FOR PRODUCING A BLOCK OF CRYSTALLINE

MATERIAL AND METHOD FOR PRODUCING SAME

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the January 7, 2008 Restriction Requirement, Applicants provisionally elect Group I, claims 1-9, with traverse.

This election confirms Applicants' previous election in a December 20, 2007 telephone conference with the Examiner, in response to the Examiner's December 18, 2007 telephone Restriction Requirement.

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of all claims 1-10 is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one Group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. See MPEP §803 in which it is stated that "if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions" (emphasis